

William Rueckert and Cheryll Glotfelty: The foundational thinkers in ecocriticism

Dr. Yanpolumi M Sangma

Assistant Professor, Department of English, Pasf-Abong Noga College, Tura, Meghalaya, India

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.66856/english.2026.12.3.12095>

Abstract

Ecocriticism has emerged as one of the most influential approaches in contemporary literary studies, examining the relationship between literature and the natural environment. Among its pioneering scholars, William Rueckert and Cheryll Glotfelty occupy central positions for establishing the theoretical foundations of the discipline. William Rueckert introduced the term ecocriticism in his 1978 essay "Literature and Ecology: An Experiment in Ecocriticism," while Cheryll Glotfelty institutionalized and expanded the field through her influential anthology *The Ecocriticism Reader* (1996). This article explores their contributions, compares their theoretical perspectives, and evaluates their lasting influence on environmental literary criticism.

keywords: Ecocriticism, william rueckert, Cheryll Glotfelty, comparison of Rueckert and Glotfelty, influence on contemporary ecocriticism

Introduction

The increasing environmental crisis has transformed literary criticism by encouraging scholars to examine literature through ecological perspectives. Ecocriticism investigates the relationship between literature, culture, and the natural world, emphasizing how literary texts reflect, construct, and challenge human attitudes toward nature. Although ecological concerns appeared earlier in literature, ecocriticism became a recognized academic discipline during the late twentieth century. William Rueckert introduced the term "ecocriticism" in 1978 [2], while Cheryll Glotfelty established the field academically through her writings, teaching, and editorial work. Their contributions laid the intellectual foundation for modern environmental literary studies.

William Rueckert and the Origin of Ecocriticism

William Rueckert is widely acknowledged as the scholar who coined the term ecocriticism in his landmark essay "Literature and Ecology: An Experiment in Ecocriticism" (1978). His objective was to apply ecological concepts to literary analysis rather than treating literature solely as an aesthetic object. Rueckert argued that ecology provides valuable insights into literary interpretation because literature functions as an energy system, similar to natural ecosystems. He believed that poems and literary works possess "stored energy" which readers activate through interpretation. His ecological model emphasized interconnectedness, sustainability, and the circulation of energy between literature and society.

According to Rueckert, ecocriticism "the application of ecology and ecological concepts to the study of literature." This definition reflects his attempt to integrate scientific ecological principles with literary criticism, making literature an active participant in ecological thinking rather than merely representing nature.

Major Contributions of William Rueckert

William Rueckert made pioneering contributions to the field of literary and environmental studies. In 1978, he coined the term "ecocriticism" thereby laying the foundation for a new

critical approach. Rueckert was instrumental in integrating ecological principles into literary analysis which significantly broadened parameters of traditional criticism. He further proposed the idea of literature as a dynamic and interconnected ecological system, challenging the perception of literary texts as isolated cultural artifacts. Rueckert also says that literature and environmental science should work together. It helps scholars from different subjects to talk and learn from each other. His important ideas inspired many later scholars, who developed environmental literary studies into a major field of research.

Cheryll Glotfelty and the Institutionalization of Ecocriticism

While Rueckert introduced the terminology, Cheryll Glotfelty transformed ecocriticism into a recognized academic discipline. She is considered as one of the founders of modern ecocriticism because of her editorial work, teaching, and theoretical contributions. In the introduction to *The Ecocriticism Reader: Landmarks in Literary Ecology* (1996), co-edited with Harold Fromm, Glotfelty provided the most widely cited definition of ecocriticism "Ecocriticism is the study of the relationship between literature and the physical environment" which means that literature should not be studied as if it exists separately from nature. Instead, we should examine how literary works represent, interpret, and interact with the natural world like forests, river, animals, climate, landscape, and the environment as a whole. In a nutshell, ecocriticism studies how literature portrays nature and the environment, and how literary texts shape our understanding of the relationship between human beings and the nature world.

She further explained that ecocriticism adopts an earth-centered approach to literary studies, similar to how feminist criticism analyses literature through gender and Marxist criticism through class and economic structures. Glotfelty also stated that literature both shaped and is shaped by environmental conditions. She extended ecocriticism beyond just nature writing to cover areas such as environmental ethics, cultural studies, history, politics, and interdisciplinary research.

Glotfelty's Major Contributions

Glotfelty played a crucial role in shaping ecocriticism by offering a comprehensive and welcoming definition of the field. Her approach made it possible for scholars from various academic backgrounds to take part in environmental criticism. By keeping the definition broad, she ensured that ecocriticism would not be limited to one perspective but would instead encourage diverse voices and ideas.

She was also instrumental in giving ecocriticism an academic foundation. Glotfelty helped establish the field through two major steps. First, she edited and published *The Ecocriticism Reader* in 1996, which becomes a key text for students and researchers. Secondly, Glotfelty was actively involved in founding the Association for the Study of Literature and Environment (ASLE). This organization provided a platform for scholars to share research, collaborate, and promote environmental literary studies within universities and academic communities.

Comparison of Rueckert And Glotfelty

William Rueckert and Cheryll Glotfelty are considered two functional figures in the development of ecocriticism. Rueckert introduced the term ecocriticism in 1978 and was to apply ecological science to literary analysis. His work centered on ecological concepts such as energy and ecosystems. Rueckert's approach was largely theoretical and experimental, and he is credited with initiating the concept itself. Whereas, Glotfelty defined popularized ecocriticism in 1996. She developed it further into an interdisciplinary literary theory and emphasized the relationship between literature and the physical environment. Her work was more practical, inclusive, and academic in nature. She also played a role in institutionalizing and expanding to a wider academic audience. Thus, while Rueckert laid the theoretical groundwork, Glotfelty formalized and broadened the field.

Influence on Contemporary Ecocriticism

The work of Rueckert and Glotfelty inspired later ecocritics such as Lawrence Buell, Greg Garrard, Scott Slovic, Patrick Murphy, and Richard Kerridge, who expanded ecocriticism into areas including: climate change literature, ecofeminism, postcolonial ecocriticism, animal studies, environmental justice, the environmental humanities. Today, ecocriticism addresses global concerns such as biodiversity loss, climate change, pollution, and sustainability while remaining rooted in the foundational work of Rueckert and Glotfelty.

Critical Evaluation

Although Rueckert's ecological model was innovative, some scholars argue that his scientific approach was too abstract and difficult to apply consistently to literary texts. Glotfelty's broader definition, by contrast, proved more adaptable and helped establish ecocriticism as a widely accepted field. Critics have also observed that early ecocriticism focused heavily on wilderness and nature writing. Later developments expanded the field to include urban environments, environmental justice, indigenous perspectives, globalization, and climate change. Despite these developments, Rueckert remains the originator of the concept, while Glotfelty is widely recognized as the scholar who transformed ecocriticism into a major academic discipline.

Conclusion

William Rueckert and Cheryll Glotfelty are the two most significant foundational figures in ecocriticism. Rueckert's pioneering essay introduced the concept by applying ecological principles to literary criticism, while Glotfelty expanded, defined, and institutionalized the discipline through scholarship, teaching, and professional organization. Together, they transformed ecocriticism into an influential interdisciplinary field that continues to shape literary studies and environmental thought. Their work remains essential for understanding how literature contributes to ecological awareness and environmental responsibility.

References

1. Glotfelty, Cheryll, Harold Fromm. eds. *The Ecocriticism Reader: Landmarks in Literary Ecology*. Athens: University of Georgia Press, 1996.
2. Rueckert, William. "Literature and Ecology: An Experiment in Ecocriticism." *The Iowa Review* 9, no. 1, 1978, 71–86. Reprinted in *The Ecocriticism Reader: Landmarks in Literary Ecology*. Athens: University of Georgia Press, 1996.
3. Buell, Lawrence. *The Environmental Imagination*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1995.
4. Buell, Lawrence. *The Future of Environmental Criticism*. Oxford: Blackwell, 2005.
5. Garrard, Greg. *Ecocriticism*. 2nd ed. London: Routledge, 2012.
6. Kerridge, Richard, Neil Sammells. *Writing the Environment: Ecocriticism and Literature*. London: Zed Books, 1998.
7. Slovic, Scott. *Seeking Awareness in American Nature Writing*. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press, 1992.
8. Coupe, Laurence. *The Green Studies Reader: From Romanticism to Ecocriticism*. London: Routledge, 2000.
9. Murphy, Patrick D. *Literature, Nature, and Other: Ecofeminist Critiques*. Albany: State University of New York Press, 1995.