



The poetics of resistance: Social and political consciousness in modern English poetry

Sudhir Kumar Bhaskar

Assistant Professor, Department of English, T.N.B. College, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.66856/english.2026.12.4.12122>

Abstract

Modern English poetry emerged from a period of profound political, social, economic, and cultural transformation. The First World War, industrialisation, nationalism, colonialism, economic inequality, fascism, the Second World War, and the crisis of traditional religious and moral values significantly altered the intellectual landscape of the twentieth century. In this context, poetry increasingly became a medium for questioning authority, exposing violence, representing social suffering, and articulating alternative forms of human consciousness. This article examines the poetics of resistance in modern English poetry through the selected works of W. B. Yeats, Wilfred Owen, W. H. Auden, T. S. Eliot, and George Orwell, while also considering broader developments in gender and postcolonial poetry. The study argues that resistance in modern poetry operates at both thematic and formal levels. War poetry challenges patriotic narratives; political poetry interrogates power and ideology; modernist fragmentation represents cultural disintegration; and postcolonial poetry contests imperial versions of history and identity. The article further suggests that poetic resistance should not be understood simply as direct political protest. Irony, symbolism, historical memory, fragmented form, linguistic experimentation, and the representation of marginalised experiences can also function as forms of resistance. Modern English poetry thus demonstrates how literary expression can preserve human dignity, challenge dominant narratives, and create a critical space for examining the relationship between power, history, society, and individual experience.

Keywords: Modern English poetry, poetics of resistance, social criticism, war poetry

Introduction

Poetry has historically been associated with the expression of emotion, imagination, beauty, and individual experience; however, its relationship with society and politics is equally significant. Literature does not exist independently of historical circumstances. Raymond Williams observes that culture is inseparable from the social processes within which it is produced and received (Williams 1977 ^[32], p. 11). Similarly, Terry Eagleton argues that literary works are embedded within ideological and social structures rather than existing as completely autonomous aesthetic objects (Eagleton 1991 ^[6], p. 6). Poetry can therefore become an important site for negotiating questions of power, identity, conflict, and social change. The emergence of modern English poetry must be understood against the background of dramatic transformations in European society. Industrialisation, urbanisation, imperialism, the First World War, the Russian Revolution, the economic depression, fascism, and the Second World War fundamentally challenged nineteenth-century assumptions concerning progress and civilisation (Childs 2008 ^[5], pp. 15–20). The technological advancement of modern society existed alongside unprecedented forms of destruction. The First World War, in particular, produced a crisis of confidence in European civilisation and contributed to a widespread sense of cultural fragmentation (Perkins 1976 ^[23], pp. 15–16). Modernist poetry responded to this crisis through both thematic and formal innovation. Traditional assumptions concerning poetic unity, narrative continuity, and stable meaning were increasingly questioned (Bradbury and McFarlane 1976, pp. 44–46). Fragmentation, juxtaposition, multiple voices, symbolism, irony, and intertextuality became significant characteristics of modern poetry (Levenson 1984, pp. 4–5). Such formal experimentation was not merely an aesthetic development; it was also related to

the experience of a world perceived as fragmented and unstable.

The political dimension of modern poetry is particularly visible in the works of W. B. Yeats, Wilfred Owen, and W. H. Auden. Yeats's poetry engages with Irish nationalism, revolutionary violence, and historical transformation; Owen exposes the physical and psychological consequences of modern warfare; and Auden explores the relationship between political structures and individual responsibility (Jeffares 1984, pp. 183–190; Stallworthy 1974, 142–150; Hynes 1976 ^[13, 15, 30], pp. 175–177). T. S. Eliot approaches the crisis of modernity differently, representing cultural fragmentation and spiritual emptiness rather than engaging primarily in direct political protest (Eliot 1975 ^[9], pp. 109–110). The present study examines these different forms of resistance and argues that political consciousness in modern English poetry cannot be reduced to explicit political statements. Resistance may operate through the exposure of suffering, the questioning of dominant historical narratives, the use of irony, or the disruption of conventional literary forms. Poetry can resist not only political authority but also cultural amnesia, ideological manipulation, social inequality, and dehumanisation.

Conceptualising Resistance in Modern Poetry

The concept of resistance in literature has a broad meaning. It may refer to direct opposition to political authority, but it can also involve resistance to dominant cultural values, social hierarchies, ideological assumptions, or established representations of history. Antonio Gramsci's concept of cultural hegemony is useful in understanding how dominant groups maintain power partly through the production of accepted cultural meanings (Gramsci 1971 ^[11], p. 12). Literature can participate in such structures, but it can also question them. Raymond Williams similarly emphasises that

culture is a field of social struggle in which dominant, residual, and emergent meanings interact (Williams 1977^[32], p. 121). From this perspective, poetry may function as a space where alternative meanings become visible. A poem does not necessarily have to present a political programme to be politically significant.

Edward Said's discussion of culture and imperialism further demonstrates the relationship between literary representation and political power. He argues that cultural texts have historically participated in the construction of imperial knowledge and identity (Said 1993^[28], pp. xii–xiii). Consequently, challenging dominant representations can itself become a form of resistance. Modern poetry is particularly important in this context because its formal innovations often challenge expectations concerning literary order. The fragmentation of modernist poetry reflects not only aesthetic experimentation but also a crisis in the representation of reality (Levenson 1984, p. 27). The poem becomes a space where competing voices and contradictory perspectives can coexist.

Historical Context of Modern English Poetry

The First World War represents a decisive historical context for modern English poetry. Millions of soldiers were exposed to industrialised warfare involving artillery, machine guns, chemical weapons, and trench warfare. The conflict challenged traditional ideas of heroism and military glory (Hynes 1990^[14], pp. 199–200). The war also altered the cultural imagination of Europe. Paul Fussell argues that the war generated a distinctive literary consciousness characterised by irony and a profound awareness of the gap between patriotic rhetoric and actual experience (Fussell 1975^[10], p. 7). This gap became particularly significant in the poetry of Wilfred Owen. The interwar period introduced further political anxieties. Economic depression, unemployment, fascism, communism, nationalism, and the Spanish Civil War shaped the intellectual environment of the 1930s (Hynes 1976^[13], p. 25). Poets could no longer easily separate private experience from political events. The Second World War intensified these concerns. The Holocaust, aerial bombing, totalitarianism, and the use of atomic weapons created a new understanding of technological modernity and human destruction (Bradbury and McFarlane 1976, p. 52). Poetry consequently became an important medium for reflecting upon the relationship between technological progress and moral responsibility.

W. B. Yeats: Revolution, Nationalism and Historical Violence

W. B. Yeats provides one of the most complex examples of political consciousness in modern English poetry. His poetry was deeply influenced by Irish nationalism, the Easter Rising of 1916, the Irish War of Independence, and the subsequent Civil War (Jeffares 1984^[15], pp. 178–180). However, Yeats's response to political violence was rarely straightforward. In "Easter 1916," Yeats reflects upon the participants in the Easter Rising. The poem's central phrase, "A terrible beauty is born," captures the contradiction between revolutionary heroism and violent death (Yeats 1996^[33], p. 182). The poem neither simply condemns nor celebrates the revolutionaries. Instead, it transforms a historical event into a moral meditation on sacrifice and political change. Yeats's treatment of revolutionary figures also demonstrates the relationship between personal identity

and political transformation. Individuals who previously appeared ordinary become transformed through political action (Jeffares 1984^[15], p. 184). Yet this transformation is accompanied by an unsettling loss of flexibility, as suggested by the image of the heart becoming "enchanted to a stone" (Yeats 1996^[33], p. 183).

The political significance of the poem therefore lies partly in its ambiguity. Yeats recognises the courage of resistance while simultaneously acknowledging its tragic consequences. Such complexity distinguishes poetic political consciousness from political propaganda. "The Second Coming" broadens Yeats's historical vision. Written in the aftermath of the First World War, the poem presents a world in which traditional structures appear to be collapsing (Yeats 1996^[33], p. 187). The famous image of a widening gyre represents historical movement away from established forms of order. The poem's political significance arises from its representation of instability and violence. Its imagery suggests that the collapse of one order does not automatically produce liberation; it may also create conditions for new forms of brutality (Bloom 1970^[3], pp. 300–302). Yeats therefore presents history as a complex process in which political transformation contains both emancipatory and destructive possibilities.

Wilfred Owen: War and the Resistance to Patriotic Myth

Wilfred Owen's poetry represents one of the strongest forms of literary resistance to the romanticisation of war. His experience as a soldier gave his poetry an authority rooted in direct encounter with battlefield violence (Stallworthy 1974^[30], pp. 112–115). "Dulce et Decorum Est" is particularly significant because it challenges the conventional representation of military sacrifice. The poem begins with an image of exhausted soldiers rather than heroic warriors (Owen 1990^[22], 140). The physical deterioration of the soldiers undermines traditional images of patriotic strength. The gas attack introduces another dimension of horror. The dying soldier becomes an individual human being rather than an anonymous casualty. Owen's use of sensory imagery forces the reader to confront the physical reality of war (Hibberd 1975^[10], p. 210). The poem's concluding irony directly challenges the cultural myth that dying for one's country is necessarily glorious. Owen's resistance is therefore directed not only against war itself but against the language through which war is justified (Fussell 1975^[10], pp. 250–253). "Anthem for Doomed Youth" similarly questions conventional rituals of mourning. Soldiers do not receive the traditional funeral ceremonies associated with peaceful death. Instead, weapons provide the sounds accompanying their deaths (Owen 1990^[22], 135–136). The contrast between religious ritual and mechanical violence is central to the poem. The battlefield becomes a space in which traditional cultural forms appear inadequate to the scale of modern destruction (Stallworthy 1974^[30], p. 143). Owen's poetry consequently represents an ethical form of resistance. He restores individuality to those who might otherwise be reduced to statistics. His poetry demonstrates that the representation of suffering can itself challenge political narratives that conceal or romanticise violence.

W. H. Auden: Political Crisis and Individual Responsibility

W. H. Auden represents another major dimension of political consciousness in modern English poetry. His poetry of the 1930s developed in response to economic crisis, fascism, political polarisation, and the Spanish Civil War (Hynes 1976 ^[13], pp. 170–171). "Spain" is particularly significant because it places individual suffering within a broader historical framework. The poem does not treat the Spanish Civil War simply as an isolated event; instead, it considers the relationship between political struggle and historical development (Auden 1991 ^[2], pp. 208–210). Auden's political poetry is characterised by an intellectual concern with responsibility. The individual is simultaneously shaped by historical forces and responsible for choices within them (Mendelson 1981 ^[18], pp. 193–195). This tension distinguishes Auden's work from simpler forms of ideological poetry. "September 1, 1939" similarly connects private anxiety with global political crisis. The poem reflects the atmosphere surrounding the beginning of the Second World War and considers the relationship between individual fear and historical violence (Auden 1991 ^[2], p. 245).

Auden's poetry therefore suggests that political consciousness begins with recognition of historical interconnectedness. Individual suffering cannot always be understood separately from the institutions, ideologies, and economic structures within which individuals live (Hynes 1976 ^[13], pp. 190–191). At the same time, Auden's political poetry underwent significant changes, and he became increasingly sceptical of simplified ideological explanations (Mendelson 1981 ^[18], pp. 230–232). This development demonstrates that resistance poetry can involve self-questioning and revision rather than ideological certainty.

T. S. Eliot: Cultural Fragmentation as a Form of Resistance

T. S. Eliot's poetry offers a different model of resistance. Unlike Owen, he does not primarily represent battlefield experience; unlike Auden, he does not consistently write direct political poetry. His principal concern is the cultural and spiritual condition of modern civilisation. *The Waste Land*, published in 1922, emerged from a Europe profoundly affected by the First World War. Its fragmented structure reflects a world in which cultural continuity appears broken (Eliot 1961 ^[7], pp. 51–53). The poem brings together different historical periods, languages, literary traditions, and cultural voices. Such fragmentation can be understood as a representation of modern consciousness (Rainey 2005 ^[25], p. 8). The poem refuses a single stable perspective. The significance of *The Waste Land* lies partly in its representation of cultural exhaustion. The modern city becomes a space of anonymity and spiritual emptiness. Human relationships are frequently reduced to mechanical interactions, while traditional sources of meaning appear increasingly distant (Eliot 1961 ^[7], p. 62). Eliot's resistance is therefore primarily cultural. He questions the assumption that material progress automatically produces human fulfilment. Modern civilisation possesses technological power but appears spiritually impoverished (Eliot 1975 ^[9], p. 111). "The Hollow Men" extends this concern. Its figures represent a condition of moral and spiritual emptiness (Eliot 1961 ^[7], p. 89–90). The poem's fragmented language and incomplete statements reinforce its representation of a world

unable to achieve meaningful coherence. Thus, Eliot demonstrates that political consciousness can be expressed indirectly. A critique of cultural fragmentation can become a critique of the conditions produced by modern civilisation itself.

George Orwell: Political Language and Ideological Power

George Orwell's contribution to political literature is especially important because of his concern with language. Although he is primarily remembered as a novelist and essayist, his ideas are highly relevant to the political function of poetry. In "Politics and the English Language," Orwell argues that political language can become deliberately vague and misleading (Orwell 2000 ^[21], p. 355). Political terminology may conceal rather than communicate reality. This observation has considerable relevance for poetry because poetic language can either reinforce ideological abstractions or expose them. Orwell's poem "Awake! Young Men of England" reflects the patriotic rhetoric associated with the First World War (Orwell 1998 ^[20], p. 31). Its treatment of war demonstrates the tension between idealised national rhetoric and the reality of violence. Orwell's wider literary thought suggests that political writing should remain attentive to concrete human experience. Abstract language can distance readers from suffering, whereas precise representation can restore moral visibility (Orwell 2000 ^[21], p. 360). His contribution consequently expands the idea of poetic resistance. Resistance does not necessarily require a direct political slogan. It can begin with the recovery of truthful language.

Social Class and Economic Inequality

Political consciousness in modern English poetry also developed through engagement with class and economic inequality. Industrialisation created substantial wealth but simultaneously produced poverty, unemployment, overcrowded urban environments, and difficult working conditions (Williams 1973 ^[31], p. 12). The modern city became an important poetic setting because it represented both technological modernity and social inequality. Eliot's urban landscapes, for example, contain crowds characterised by anonymity and alienation (Eliot 1961 ^[7], pp. 55–57). Auden's poetry similarly reflects the relationship between economic conditions and individual life. His political writing of the 1930s demonstrates an awareness that unemployment and poverty are not merely private misfortunes but consequences of broader social structures (Hynes 1976 ^[13], pp. 115–118). The emergence of working-class writing further challenged the traditional dominance of elite literary voices. Literature became increasingly attentive to ordinary experience and social marginality (Williams 1977 ^[32], pp. 84–90). Poetry could therefore function as social documentation while simultaneously questioning the structures that produced inequality.

Gender and Resistant Voices

The concept of resistance in modern poetry must also be extended to gender. The twentieth century witnessed major changes in women's social, educational, professional, and political participation. These changes influenced literary representation and expanded the range of subjects considered appropriate for poetry (Showalter 1977 ^[29], p. 7). Women poets challenged traditional representations of

femininity by writing about identity, sexuality, domestic life, motherhood, violence, and the body. Elaine Showalter's account of women's literary history demonstrates how female writers developed alternative traditions within a predominantly male literary culture (Showalter 1977 ^[29], pp. 13–15). Sylvia Plath's poetry, for example, frequently explores identity, psychological conflict, gender expectations, and social power (Plath 1981 ^[24], 192–195). Adrienne Rich similarly connects personal experience with broader questions of social authority and gender politics (Rich 1978 ^[26], pp. 18–20). The significance of such poetry lies in the transformation of private experience into public discourse. Experiences historically treated as personal or domestic can become subjects of political and social analysis. Resistance therefore occurs not only through explicit political criticism but also through the reclamation of voice and experience.

Colonialism and Postcolonial Resistance

Modern English poetry also needs to be considered within the history of British imperialism. English literature developed alongside the expansion of the British Empire, and literary texts sometimes participated in the cultural construction of imperial authority (Said 1993 ^[28], 9–11). Postcolonial poets challenged these representations by presenting histories and identities from the perspective of formerly colonised societies. Their poetry frequently addresses questions of cultural displacement, language, race, memory, and colonial violence (Ashcroft, Griffiths, and Tiffin 2002 ^[1], p. 4). The use of English by postcolonial writers is particularly significant. The language associated with colonial authority is appropriated and transformed to express indigenous experiences (Ashcroft, Griffiths, and Tiffin 2002 ^[1], p. 37). This linguistic transformation can itself function as resistance. Rather than simply rejecting English, postcolonial poets reshape it through local idioms, cultural references, rhythms, and historical experiences. Edward Said's concept of representation is especially relevant here because it demonstrates that power operates partly through the ability to define how cultures and peoples are represented (Said 1978 ^[27], p. 5). Poetry can challenge such representational authority by creating alternative narratives.

History, Memory and Resistance

Historical memory is another important dimension of resistant poetry. Political power frequently depends upon controlling narratives of the past. Literature can challenge this process by preserving experiences that official histories may minimise or exclude. Pierre Nora's concept of "sites of memory" demonstrates the importance of cultural memory in maintaining collective identities (Nora 1989 ^[19], p. 9). Poetry can operate as a textual site of memory by preserving experiences of war, displacement, political struggle, and cultural loss. Yeats's poetry illustrates this process particularly clearly. His treatment of the Easter Rising transforms a political event into a lasting cultural memory (Yeats 1996 ^[33], pp. 181–183). Owen similarly preserves the experience of soldiers whose individual lives might otherwise disappear within the statistics of war (Owen 1990 ^[22], pp. 135–138). The political significance of memory therefore lies in its ability to resist forgetting. Remembering suffering can challenge narratives that justify or normalise violence.

Poetic Form and Resistance

One of the most important characteristics of modern poetry is formal experimentation. Modern poets questioned conventional metre, narrative continuity, syntax, and poetic voice (Bradbury and McFarlane 1976, pp. 48–50). Eliot's *The Waste Land* represents perhaps the clearest example of this development. Its multiple voices and abrupt transitions create a fragmented textual structure (Rainey 2005 ^[25], pp. 9–10). Fragmentation can be interpreted as a formal response to historical fragmentation. The poem does not simply describe a broken world; its structure reproduces the experience of brokenness (Levenson 1984, pp. 28–30). Owen's poetry demonstrates a different relationship between form and resistance. He frequently employs traditional poetic structures while disrupting their conventional expectations through irony and disturbing imagery (Fussell 1975 ^[10], pp. 251–253). Yeats combines formal control with political ambiguity, while Auden experiments with traditional and modern poetic forms to represent complex political realities (Mendelson 1981 ^[18], pp. 190–192). Modern poetic form therefore demonstrates that resistance can be aesthetic as well as political. The rejection of conventional forms may challenge conventional ways of thinking about reality.

Irony as a Mode of Political Resistance

Irony is one of the most powerful techniques used by modern poets. It enables poets to expose contradictions between appearance and reality without reducing the poem to a simple political statement. Owen's "Dulce et Decorum Est" depends fundamentally upon irony. The language of patriotic honour is placed against the physical reality of suffering (Owen 1990 ^[22], pp. 140–141). Yeats's "Easter 1916" similarly relies upon ambiguity. The phrase "A terrible beauty is born" refuses to provide a simple moral judgement about revolutionary violence (Yeats 1996 ^[33], p. 182). Auden's political poetry also employs irony to reveal the distance between ideological claims and lived experience (Hynes 1976 ^[13], pp. 180–183). Irony therefore enables poetry to resist ideological certainty. It encourages readers to recognise contradictions rather than accepting political claims at face value.

Poetry, Humanism and Ethical Resistance

At the centre of much modern resistance poetry lies a concern for human dignity. The political significance of poetry ultimately depends upon its capacity to make human beings visible within larger historical processes. Owen's soldiers are not merely representatives of a nation; they are individuals experiencing pain and fear. Auden's subjects are not simply political categories but individuals struggling with historical circumstances. Yeats's revolutionaries are simultaneously political actors and human beings confronting death. This humanistic orientation distinguishes literary resistance from political propaganda. Propaganda generally seeks to persuade the audience towards a predetermined position, whereas poetry can preserve ambiguity and encourage reflection (Eagleton 1991 ^[6], pp. 25–26). The ethical value of poetry lies partly in this capacity for complexity. It can expose suffering without reducing it to a political formula.

Discussion

The analysis of selected modern poets demonstrates that resistance operates through multiple literary forms. Yeats represents the contradictions of nationalism and revolution; Owen challenges the romanticisation of war; Auden explores the relationship between political history and individual responsibility; Eliot represents cultural fragmentation and spiritual crisis; and Orwell exposes the relationship between political language and ideological power. Their approaches are different, but they share an important characteristic: the refusal to accept inherited representations of reality without examination. Modern poetry also demonstrates that political consciousness does not necessarily mean political certainty. Yeats's ambiguity, Owen's humanitarianism, Auden's ideological revisions, and Eliot's cultural criticism illustrate different forms of intellectual resistance. The politics of poetry is consequently broader than explicit political advocacy. It includes the representation of suffering, the recovery of forgotten voices, the critique of dominant narratives, the questioning of language, and the creation of alternative cultural meanings. The poem becomes a space in which readers can encounter historical experience from perspectives that may be absent from official discourse. In this sense, literary resistance is closely connected with the politics of representation.

The poetics of resistance occupies an important place in modern English poetry. The political and social crises of the twentieth century transformed the relationship between poetry and public life. War, nationalism, economic inequality, colonialism, political extremism, cultural fragmentation, and changing gender relations became important subjects of poetic reflection. The poetry of W. B. Yeats demonstrates the complex relationship between nationalism, revolution, violence, and historical memory. Wilfred Owen's poetry challenges the romanticisation of war by foregrounding the physical and psychological suffering of soldiers. W. H. Auden connects political history with individual responsibility, while T. S. Eliot represents the cultural and spiritual fragmentation of modern civilisation. George Orwell's concern with political language further demonstrates the importance of linguistic clarity in resisting ideological manipulation. The study also demonstrates that resistance is not confined to direct political protest. It can be expressed through irony, symbolism, memory, fragmentation, alternative historical narratives, gendered voices, and linguistic experimentation. The political power of poetry therefore lies partly in its ability to complicate dominant narratives and restore human experience to historical events. Modern English poetry ultimately reveals that resistance is both an ethical and aesthetic practice. By representing suffering, questioning authority, preserving memory, and creating alternative forms of expression, poetry can challenge the processes through which individuals and communities are silenced or forgotten. Its enduring significance lies not in providing simple political answers but in creating a critical space where readers can question power, history, identity, and the meaning of human dignity.

References

1. Ashcroft B, Griffiths G, Tiffin H. *The Empire Writes Back: Theory and Practice in Post-Colonial Literatures*. 2nd ed. London: Routledge, 2002.
2. Auden WH. *Collected Poems*. Edited by Edward Mendelson. New York: Vintage International, 1991.
3. Bloom H. *Yeats*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1970.
4. Bradbury M, McFarlane J, eds. *Modernism: 1890–1930*. Harmondsworth: Penguin, 1976.
5. Childs P. *Modernism*. 2nd ed. London: Routledge, 2008.
6. Eagleton T. *Ideology: An Introduction*. London: Verso, 1991.
7. Eliot TS. *Selected Poems*. London: Faber and Faber, 1961.
8. Eliot TS. *The Complete Poems and Plays*. London: Faber and Faber, 1969.
9. Eliot TS. *Selected Prose of T. S. Eliot*. Edited by Frank Kermode. London: Faber and Faber, 1975.
10. Fussell P. *The Great War and Modern Memory*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1975.
11. Gramsci A. *Selections from the Prison Notebooks*. Edited and translated by Quintin Hoare and Geoffrey Nowell Smith. New York: International Publishers, 1971.
12. Hibberd D. *Wilfred Owen: A New Biography*. London: Weidenfeld and Nicolson, 2002.
13. Hynes S. *The Auden Generation: Literature and Politics in England in the 1930s*. London: Faber and Faber, 1976.
14. Hynes S. *A War Imagined: The First World War and English Culture*. New York: Atheneum, 1990.
15. Jeffares AN. W. B. Yeats: Man and Poet. London: Macmillan, 1984.
16. Levenson M, ed. *The Cambridge Companion to Modernism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999.
17. Levenson M. *A Genealogy of Modernism: A Study of English Literary Doctrine 1908–1922*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1984.
18. Mendelson E. *Early Auden*. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 1981.
19. Nora P. "Between Memory and History: Les Lieux de Mémoire." *Representations*, 1989, (26).
20. Orwell G. *The Complete Works of George Orwell*. Edited by Peter Davison. London: Secker & Warburg, 1998.
21. Orwell G. *Essays*. Edited by John Carey. London: Everyman's Library, 2000.
22. Owen W. *The Poems of Wilfred Owen*. Edited by Jon Stallworthy. London: Chatto & Windus, 1990.
23. Perkins D. *A History of Modern Poetry: From the 1890s to the High Modernist Mode*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1976.
24. Plath S. *The Collected Poems*. Edited by Ted Hughes. New York: Harper & Row, 1981.
25. Rainey L, ed. *The Annotated Waste Land with Eliot's Contemporary Prose*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2005.
26. Rich A. *The Dream of a Common Language*. New York: W. W. Norton, 1978.
27. Said EW. *Orientalism*. New York: Pantheon Books, 1978.

28. Said EW. Culture and Imperialism. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1993.
29. Showalter E. A Literature of Their Own: British Women Novelists from Brontë to Lessing. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1977.
30. Stallworthy J. Wilfred Owen. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1974.
31. Williams R. The Country and the City. New York: Oxford University Press, 1973.
32. Williams R. Marxism and Literature. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1977.
33. Yeats WB. The Collected Poems of W. B. Yeats. Edited by Richard J. Finneran. New York: Scribner, 1996.