International Journal of English Research
www.englishjournals.com
E-ISSN: 2455-2186
Received: 16-09-2026, Accepted: 16-10-2026, Published: 31-10-2026
Volume 12, Issue 4, 2026, Page No. 23-28

Social Hypocrisy and Anxiety in *The Road Through the Wall*

Anantveer Kaur
Ph. D Scholar, Guru Kashi University, Talwandi Sabo, Punjab, India
DOI: https://doi.org/10.66856/english.2026.12.4.12129

Abstract
Shirley Jackson's The Road Through the Wall (1948) depicts a seemingly orderly California suburb whose social harmony relies on marginalization, compliance, monitoring, and deliberately preserved appearances. This paper claims that Jackson's Pepper Street is not only a setting but a psychological system in which social hypocrisy generates and strengthens anxiety. With the help of close reading of the novel's treatment of Harriet Merriam, Marilyn Perlman, Tod Donald, the Roberts family, the wall and the road, and the deaths of Caroline Desmond and Tod, the study analyzes how rumor, social hierarchy, ethnic discrimination, gender norms, and social judgment restrain personal identity. Karen Horney's idea of fundamental anxiety offers a psycho-social terminology for awareness of the community members' uncontrollable attempts to acquire safety through acceptance, control, or withdrawal, while Simone de Beauvoir's account of females as the communally formed others highlights the gender-based elements of compliance. The paper argues that Jackson's suburban truthfulness becomes a form of psychological and social gothic in which the common frameworks of society create remarkable distress.

Keywords: Social hypocrisy, social exclusion; conformity, psychological anxiety

Introduction

Shirley Jackson's The Road Through the Wall, published in 1948, is her debut novel and one of the least regularly analyzed of her six completed novels. Yet its connected realism should not be misjudged for a lack of Gothic power. Set in Pepper Street, a middle-class suburban neighborhood in Cabrillo, California, the novel states the seemingly typical lives of households whose houses, green spaces, routines, friendships, stereotypes, and anxieties form a complicated social circle. The story is notable because it does not systematize itself around a single courageous central character. Instead, Jackson shifts among families and individualities, making the neighborhood itself the main object of assessment. Darryl Hattenhauer explains the novel as a combined, fragmented work whose changing attention among families creates a form closer to contemporary naturalism than to Jackson's later Gothic novels (83–98). Richard Pascal similarly claims that Pepper Street operates as an illustrative image of postwar middle-class suburban life, where the presence of community is undermined by communal and economic forces (76–96).

This paper grows a related but different argument: Jackson's representation of suburbia can be read as a cognitive system in which societal double standards and isolation produce restlessness and repress the advancement of a genuine self. The inhabitants of Pepper Street are not merely distressing human beings. They engage in a heritage that educates them to value status over emotional authenticity, social connectedness over uniqueness, and presentation over ethical responsibility. Their houses promise personal space, but their community practices rely upon observation. Their residential area seems societal, yet it regularly generates externals. Their acceptance creates the aspect of consistency while in parallel strengthening instability.

The paper concentrates on four interrelated concepts: social hypocrisy, exclusion, conformity, and psychological anxiety. "Social hypocrisy" refers here to the gap between the society's announced values—decency, respectability, friendliness, and domestic propriety—and the alienating approaches through which those values are preserved. "Exclusion" indicates not only specific denial but also gossip, race-based and ethnic discrimination, class-based judgment, humiliation, and the social monitoring of appropriate conduct. "Conformity" depicts the stress imposed on residents, especially women and children, to replicate recognized social behaviours. "Psychological anxiety" is recognized as the emotional impact of living within such a system: anxiety about rejection, embarrassment, disclosure, insufficiency, isolation, and decline in social stability.

The claim also pays on Karen Horney's The Neurotic Personality of Our Time and Simone de Beauvoir's The Second Sex. Horney's theory is valuable because it identifies psychological struggle within relational uncertainty and communal conditions rather than addressing neurosis as a solitary private limitation. Beauvoir's difference between the Subject and the Other aids clarify the ways in which females are defined through cultural expectations rather than permitted full subjectivity. These structures are not enforced on the novel as analytical labels; instead, they clarify trends already portrayed through Jackson's characters and communal structures.

The central argument, therefore, is that The Road Through the Wall modifies the typical suburb into a psychological confined space. The actual wall bordering Pepper Street becomes a metaphor for social divisions, but Jackson confounds the metaphor: the inhabitants are already walled in by their own practices of judgment before the physical wall is commenced. When the road finally passes through the wall, the community's repressed tensions become apparent. The novel thus indicates that the highest risk to suburban safety does not come from outside. It is developed within the community itself.

Theoretical Framework: Tension, Difference, and Social Compliance

Karen Horney's The Neurotic Personality of Our Time provides an especially effective structure for reading Jackson because Horney associates psychological dispute with interactive insecurity and socially produced constraints. Horney portrays basic anxiety as a perception of being small, powerless, vulnerable, or alone in a possibly hostile world. She claims that human beings react to such uncertainty by evolving methods for acquiring safety, incorporating seeking fondness and approval, enforcing authority, or withdrawing from others. Later developments of her theory arrange these patterns as moving toward, against, and away from people. The value of Horney's framework for literary analysis lies in its acknowledgment that anxiety evolves within connections and cultural surroundings. The person is not divided from society; the communal world becomes part of the psychological world.

Pepper Street is exactly such a circumstance. Its residents consistently assess one another, and social acceptance functions as a form of psychological value. To fit in is to appear dignified; to be distinct is to risk becoming a matter of rumour or ridicule. Jackson's figures thereby illustrate diverse answers to the burden of belonging. Some seek acceptance, some practice control over others, some withdraw, and some are isolated even with their efforts to adjust. Mrs. Merriam's depiction of Harriet is particularly important because she endeavors to control her daughter's friendships, composing, image, and future. Harriet's wish for acknowledgement therefore becomes indivisible from the repression of her own choices.

Horney is particularly helpful for understanding the novel's interconnection between societal hostility and inner conflict. A neurotic surrounding, in Horney's sense, is not only one occupied by medically determined neurotic people. It is a communal atmosphere in which uncertainty is increased and where individuals make strict strategies for handling it. Jackson's suburb functions in this manner. Its inhabitants continually attempt to protect themselves through differences: respectable and disgraceful, insider and outsider, proper and improper, wealthy and poor, suitable and embarrassing. These differences provisionally create assurance, but they also need regular policing. Safety is thus vulnerable because it relies upon the persisted isolation of others.

Simone de Beauvoir's The Second Sex enhances Horney by transitioning attention from anxiety to gendered identity. Beauvoir claims that females have traditionally been established as the Other, described in relation to an apparently common male Subject. Gender individuality is subsequently formed by social assumptions and procedures rather than merely determined by biology. This point of view is especially related to Jackson's portrayal of household femininity. Females on Pepper Street are evaluated according to criteria of marriage, maternity, looks, domestic ability, sexual propriety, and social acceptance. Their individualities are formed through the assumptions of others.

The integration of Horney and Beauvoir therefore allows a dual-level interpretation. Horney describes how uncertainty becomes cognitive anxiety and how persons respond to it, while Beauvoir helps how communal patterns—particulary gender roles—define the conditions within which females attempt to form selfhoods. Together, the concepts help the work's main argument: Jackson's cognitive stresses are interconnected from the social frameworks that develop them.

Literature Review and Research Gap

Critical methods to The Road Through the Wall have usually highlighted its suburban, Gothic, social, and psychological aspects, but these approaches have not always been brought simultaneously through the particular connection among insincerity, marginalization, compliance, and stress. Joan Wylie Hall's "Fallen Eden in Shirley Jackson's The Road Through the Wall" reads the novel with the help of metaphor of Eden and claims that its pictures of destroyed gardens and degrading innocence facilitate a wider pattern of decline. Hall's interpretation demonstrates the significance of the novel's metaphorical scenery but places more significant focus on global loss than on the everyday processes of social exclusion (261–70).

Darryl Hattenhauer's conversation in Shirley Jackson's American Gothic stays fundamental for understanding the novel's form and political consequences. He underscores the novel's therapy of gender, ethnic identity, and class and examines that its episodic pattern and large cast differentiate it from Jackson's later, more overtly Gothic fiction (83–98). Hattenhauer's interpretation is vital because it depicts that societal and political concepts are exceptionally direct in Jackson's debut.

Bernice M. Murphy cites the novel within the progress of American Suburban Gothic. She states that Jackson's representation of suburbia reveals the worries covered under evidently refined domestic life. Her reading emphasizes the management of Harriet Merriam and Marilyn Perlman, the community's ethnic prejudice, the wall, and the ultimate breakdown of suburban safety. Murphy's work confirms the importance of suburbia as a site where terror, suppression, and societal issues become Gothic material.

Richard Pascal's chapter "The Road Through the Wall and Shirley Jackson's America" evolves the social and historical outcomes of the novel by displaying Pepper Street as a typical picture of after the war suburban America. Pascal focuses on the neighborhood's wish for privacy, its class and ethnic limits, and the stress between a pictured village society and the disconnected suburban household. He also illustrates how the destruction of the wall concisely generates a heightened societal encounter before the surroundings return to disintegration.

Patrycja Antoszek's "The Suburban Unhomely: Isolation and Stress in Shirley Jackson's The Road Through the Wall" is specifically appropriate to the current study because it recognizes alienation and anxiety as primary affects and explains the suburb as a metaphor for American wants and fears. Antoszek's evaluation highlights that the novel's seemingly rural surrounding becomes strange and abnormal, disclosing discrepancies in the national tale.

These reviews give vital groundwork, but a more concentrated integration remains possible. Current criticism has explored the novel as a lost Eden, a modernist societal text, a suburban Gothic story, and a demonstration of isolation and stress. The continuing paper brings these elements together by investigating how social hypocrisy, separation, and adjustment do not only coexist with stress but actively produce it. It also gives special attention to the connection between societal patterns and the development or suppression of personal identity. In this sense, the study

moves from depicting the obscurity of Pepper Street to clarifying the cognitive process through which that blackness is developed.

Pepper Street: The Place of Social Hypocrisy

The first significant irony of The Road Through the Wall is that the community's presentation of agreement is created through segregation. The houses are bodily near, yet their occupants remain psychologically and socially careful. Jackson's opening study of Pepper Street displays a society whose houses are attentively distinguished by looks, landscaping, status, and degrees of privacy. The neighborhood therefore reflects a community without fully becoming one. Pascal notes that the novel frequently highlights secrecy as a prized suburban value (76–96).

This inconsistency is main to Jackson's notion of social insincerity. The occupants value neighborliness, but their exchanges are governed by ranking and judgment. They take part in social events, garden celebration, children's games, and neighborhood practices, yet these collective forms do not eliminate rejection. Instead, they often provide occasions for observing and categorizing others. Society becomes an execution.

The novel's approach to the Perlman family makes this remarkably clear. Marilyn Perlman is Jewish, and her friendship with Harriet becomes a matter to Mrs. Merriam's preconception. Harriet is directed to pull back from Marilyn, and the event uncovers how prejudice is communicated through normal household authority. The marginalization is particularly disturbing because it is not depicted as an exceptional act of hatred. It is standardized as societal knowledge. Mrs. Merriam acts as though she is safeguarding her daughter from an unjustifiable connection, while Harriet understands that affiliation needs submission to acquired limits.

The same logic functions around class. Working class people, household workers, renters, and families who do not fit the neighborhood's norms are treated as socially lower. The occupants build their own dignity by recognizing people who are less identifying. Such a system needs another. The neighborhood therefore relies upon the presence of people whom it can underestimate upon.

The physical wall between Pepper Street and the nearby estate compresses this social logic. The wall divides spaces of different financial status, but it also signifies the community's wish to regulate access. The inhabitants originally experience the wall as a safeguard. When the wall is modified to generate a road, their stress increases because the limit that has assured their sense of safety is being eliminated. Pascal remarks that the approaching modification initiates the possibility of outsiders and social disruption into what the occupants imagine as a defended condition (86–87).

The wall is thus both material as well as psychological. It defends the occupants from the visualized dangers of difference, but it also protects their preconceptions from investigation. As long as the wall stands, they can consider themselves as a coherent society. Once the restriction is broken, the paradoxes become more difficult to contain.

Social Compliance and the Restriction of Personal Identity

If social hypocrisy explains the society's public functioning, uniformity portrays the burden positioned upon persons to preserve that execution. Jackson continuously shows that the inhabitants of Pepper Street are not free simply to be themselves. Their conduct patterns are measured against societal standards, and those assumptions are primarily extreme for females and children.

Harriet Merriam is one of the finest examples. She is sharp-minded, full of imagination, and capable of writing, but her mother makes efforts to determine the acceptable form of her imagination and social existence. Hattenhauer notes the importance of Mrs. Merriam's control over Harriet's composition and her emphasis that Harriet remains within attentively defined female limits (84–86). Harriet is allowed to write, but the activity is managed so that it does not endanger the household identity expected of her. The conflict is therefore not whether Harriet has an individuality but whether she is allowed to progress an identity of her own.

Harriet's friendship with Marilyn thereafter discloses the issue between sense of self and uniformity. Friendship is possibly a space of continuous recognition, yet societal preconceptions interfere. Harriet must choose between a genuine personal connection and compliance to the society's racial and religious limits. Her obedience depicts how domination can operate through love and family loyalty rather than through over force. The personality absorbs the power of the group.

This evolution can be read through Beauvoir's idea of otherness. Ultimately the household region is seemingly protective, but it becomes a site of identity restriction.

Mrs. Merriam herself is also restricted by conventionality. Her rudeness toward Harriet does not inevitably mean that she is free from gender expectations. On the other hand, she has adopted many of the ethics she imposes. Her emphasis on respectability, household efficiency, femininity, and marriage reveal the extent to which females can become representatives of the same pattern that stops them. Beauvoir's perspective explains this contradiction: The Other can contribute in recreating the system that describes her because social acceptance is itself structured by that system.

The repression of individuality also affects male characters. Tod Donald is not acknowledged comfortably within the neighborhood's ranking, and his weakness becomes a source of taunting and judgment. His insignificant position illustrates that agreement is not entirely a women' s problem. However, gender establishes the specific forms that uniformity takes. Females are controlled through family-oriented and sexual expectations; children are disciplined through peer circles and parental control; males are analyzed through position, manhood, financial prosperity, and social standing.

Jackson's accomplishment is to show that uniformity is not merely an outward rule. It becomes an inner cognitive burden. Characters acquire to see themselves because they have already learned that others are watching them.

Marginalization, Rumour, and the Production of Tension

Gossip is one of the novel's most significant social mechanisms. It enables community members to regulate one another without official institutions. A person need not be clearly penalized to become separated; it is enough for others to discuss about that person, analyze behavior, repeat

gossip, and allocate motives. Gossip transforms personal life into public belonging.

This method generates cognitive stress because the person can never be specific about how he or she is being interpreted. The communal self becomes uncertain. An occupant's prestige relies upon a hidden network of opinions, and the probability of being likelihood is itself a form of observation. Horney's account of grounded stress is helpful here because the characters reside in a world in which safety is based upon acceptance by others. Yet the same others are possible judges.

The novel's youngsters replicate the adults' trends in small-scale ways. Their activities, mocking, partnerships, and rejections uncovers how early social identity is acquired. Youngsters are taught who belongs, who does not, and what kinds of distinctions can be taunted. Jackson therefore escapes presenting preconceptions as a problem confined to a few unethical adults. It becomes a traditional process replicated across age groups.

Tod Donald's discrimination demonstrates the repercussions. He becomes a character upon whom the society can portray its unease. His sensitivity makes him available for teasing, and the society's response to him illustrates how combined individuality can be reinforced by producing an outsider. Pascal compares this procedure to the authorized mistreatment found in "The Lottery," claiming that Jackson's suburban society strengthens its delicate integration through rumour, targeting, and isolation (91–93).

The correlation between isolation and stress is interdependent. Those who are excluded experience stress because they lack secure connection, while those who belong experience anxiety because their position can be endangered. The society therefore needs fixed boundary maintenance. It must constantly detect insiders and outsiders in order to protect its own unstable sense of order.

This clarifies why the inhabitants react so powerfully to the devastation of the wall. The wall is not only a material object; it represents the limit through which they manage their terrors. Its elimination means that distinction can enter the neighborhood more clearly. The inhabitants' response is therefore unbalanced to the practical event because the event endangers the psychological pattern of their social world.

From Physical Boundary to Psychological Exposure of the Wall and the Road

The entitlement image is the novel's greatest significant structural metaphor. Initially, the wall seems to save the neighborhood from the outside reality. Yet Jackson progressively uncovers that the locals are already separated by hidden walls of class, ethnic identity, gender, social standing, and terror. The formation of the road through the wall creates a crisis because it reveals the insincerity of the neighborhood's safety. Pascal notes that the possibility of a road connecting Pepper Street more directly with the outside world is experienced as a threat to the inhabitants' unstable safety (86–87). The society's response suggests that its peace depends upon supervised alienation.

Antoszek's thought of the "suburban unhomely" supports this modification. The well-known becomes troubling not because the surrounding changes into a mystical space but because its concealed inconsistencies become evident. The normal suburb becomes strange when its inhabitants recognize, however inaccurately, that the safety they have created is unstable. Antoszek claims that the novel's countryside ideal is changed into an abnormal site of terror as it records American worries of the period.

The road also has a contradictory meaning. A road traditionally indicates movement, relationship, and freedom. Here it symbolizes interruption. Interaction is experienced as a hazard because the society has defined itself through segregation. The heading therefore contains a paradox: the road exposes the wall, but it does not certainly create an authentic society. Physical access does not generate emotional expressiveness. The novel's result strengthens this point. After the heartbreaking happenings involving Caroline Desmond and Tod, the neighborhood does not accomplish a changed moral awareness. Families move away, routines continue, and the new road becomes part of the setting. The physical pattern changes, but the social structures that produced disconnection remain. The last picture of the destroyed footpath around Jamie Roberts's hand mark suggests the insecurity of continuity. Pascal studies the ending as an evaluation of suburban endeavors to construct steadiness while remaining essentially temporary (92–95).

The wall therefore depicts more than rejection from the outside. It depicts the society's investment in a romanticized picture of itself. When the wall is unlocked, the residents encounter the impossibility of maintaining that reputation indefinitely. The disclosure is psychological before it is geographical.

Gender, Private life, and the Women' s Burden of Honour

The novel's communal analysis becomes particularly influential when investigated through gender. Pepper Street's females are predicted to represent domestic steadiness and moral social acceptance. The home is considered as proof of the family's worth, and females carry much of the commitment for preserving its appearance.Mrs. Merriam is a notably complicated individual because she both imposes and experiences from the system. Her regulation of Harriet depicts the assumption that a daughter's future relies upon learning proper female behavior. She monitors Harriet's composition and companionship and teaches her about marriage and household life. Hattenhauer highlights how Mrs. Merriam efforts to form Harriet's creative activity into an appropriate form of female self-expression (84–86).

From a Beauvoirian point of view, such control uncovers how feminine identity becomes a community-based role rather than a straightforward individuality. Harriet is predicted to become an identifiable kind of female before she is promoted to become an independent individual. Her value is connected to her future position within marriage and domestic life. Her inner world therefore struggles with the individuality prescribed for her. Yet Jackson does not reduce females to inactive targets. The females of Pepper Street take part actively in rumour, isolation, judgment, and the management of societal ranking. This complication is necessary. Patriarchal patterns can continue not only because males enforce them but also because societal identification rewards females who reproduce them. Social acceptability becomes a form of strength.

The novel thus highlights a psychological inconsistency. The same regulations that promise female's safety can create stress. A female who follows the rules gains

acceptance, but that recognition remains situational. She must repeatedly depict that she is reputed. Any departure can endanger her renown, marriage opportunities, family standing, or societal status. This change also describes why the home in Jackson's fantasy can never be comprehended simply as a safe refuge. The home is simultaneously accommodation and surveillance, privacy and display, familiarity and control. The suburban house defends the family from the outside while making the family's inner world subject to communal expectations.

The outcome is a clearly cognitive form of exploitation. Persons do not need to be bodily imprisoned when they have incorporated the rules of acceptable actions. The most productive wall is the one that has been integrated into the self.

The Tragic Community: Caroline, Tod, and the Failure of Combined Morality

The garden party and its consequences bring the novel's societal anxieties to a crisis. The society assembles in a moment that seems to promise real collective conduct. The vanishing of Caroline Desmond and the events surrounding Tod generate a temporary concentration of attention. The community members who normally live as isolated homes become a crowd. Yet Jackson makes the accumulation morally uncertain. Collective attention does not automatically create kindness. It can also generate spectacle, gossip, secret observation, and scapegoating. Pascal examines that the deaths of Caroline and Tod concisely create a form of societal bonding, but the attachment is external and unsteady (91–93). This is one of the novel's major troubling insights: a society may become unified not by shared understanding but by mutual concentration to a sufferer. Isolation can therefore become the unfavourable groundwork of belonging. The group identifies itself by identifying who is sensitive, different, or accountable.

The difference between Marilyn's visualized village and Pepper Street is important. Marilyn's point of view of a peaceful village depicts a replacement model of society in which difference can be absorbed rather than punished. Her glorified landscape contains a sense of welcome and shared participation. Pepper Street, in opposition, displays the physical outlook of societal groups without its principled substance. The tragedy therefore does not simply demolish a formerly balanced neighborhood. It uncovers that the peace was already broken. The demises make noticeable what rumour, preconceived notion, and societal burden had been covering.

Jackson's story method strengthens this effect. Because the novel travels among many families, the reader is stopped from recognizing completely with one individual. The reader instead encounters the neighborhood as a network of perspectives, opinions, wishes, and gaps. Hattenhauer's portrayal of the novel as episodic and combined is particularly relevant here (83–98). The form itself becomes an evaluation of societal separation: no single perception can contain the meaning of the society.

The tragic event also reveals the bounds of compliance as a source of protection. The inhabitants have attempted to create order by following rules of correctness, yet those principles have not defended the children. Social decency cannot prevent sensitivity, loss, or death. The society's self-assurance in its own ethical supremacy is therefore revealed as a misconception.

Discussion about the Social Hypocrisy to Cognitive Stress

The former assessment indicates that the novel's primary notions form a productive order rather than a list of divided themes. Social hypocrisy generates an inconsistency between public image and personal reality. That inconsistency needs compliance, because the society must burden persons to preserve its selected picture. Social compliance generates marginalization because those who do not fit the representation must be categorized as Other. Exclusion generates insecurity and anxiety. Anxiety, in turn, promotes persons to seek more powerful forms of control. The process replicates itself.

Horney's structure supports explaining this process at the level of interactive psychology. Individuals who feel uncertain seek techniques for safety. Some seek approval, some control others, and some withdraw. Pepper Street presents the surroundings in which each mechanism can become socially apparent. Approval is valuable because refusal is painful; control is appealing because inconsistency is threatening; withdrawal appears safer because intimacy creates vulnerability.

Beauvoir's structure contributes to the question of whose self-identity is most intensely controlled. Jackson's females are expected to represent household reputation, while their individuality is regularly judged through connections to husbands, children, mothers, and neighbors. Harriet's conflict illustrates the cost of being described before one can define oneself. The novel also makes difficult the difference between individual and society. It would be insufficient to say that Jackson shows a few harsh people living among blameless victims. The problem is Systemic. The inhabitants are at the same time agents and products of the societal surrounding. They recreate the preconceptions that have formed them, and they endure from the stress produced by the same system.

This describes why the novel continues to be relevant to the study of psychological anxiety. Jackson does not need to give a medical assessment to represent psychological distress. Her concern lies in the ordinary development of instability: the anxiety of being assessed, the need to fit in, the constraint to look normal, and the restriction of desires that endanger societal approval.

The term "psychological anxiety" is thus especially appropriate. The novel's characters live in circumstances where common social relations become origins of psychological pressure. The suburb is terrifying because it is identifiable. Jackson's accomplishment is to show that the most effective procedures of control can be executed through ordinary conversation, family instruction, neighborhood custom, and the desire to be acknowledged.

Conclusion

The Road Through the Wall should be read not merely as a believable account of an uncomfortable suburb but as a significant early study of the psychological results of communal organization. Jackson creates Pepper Street as a social group whose steadiness relies upon limits: between dwellings, classes, ethnic communities, genders, households, and suitable and unacceptable self-concepts. The tangible wall is the major noticeable of these limits, but the more influential walls exist within the societal and cognitive lives of the inhabitants.

The novel's social duplicity lies in its distinction between the language of social acceptance and the approaches of marginalization. Its agreement performs through family supremacy, gender expectations, rumour, and community judgment. Its psychological anxiety appears from the uncertainty produced by these procedures. Society members are influenced to belong while concurrently being taught to terror those who are different. Social groups consequently become both a sought refuge and a source of risk.

Through Horney, the novel can be comprehended as portraying the interconnection between social uncertainty and concerned patterns of feedback. Through Beauvoir, it can be comprehended as disclosing how gender-based assumptions limit females' potentialities for self-understanding. Neitherstructure substitutes Jackson's literary complication; rather, each support interpreting an element of the novel's societal psychology.

The importance of the wall eventually lies in its uncertainty. It commitments preservation but produces alienation. Its devastation assures interaction but does not generate genuine society. The road uncovers the neighborhood physically while departing its more profound social divisions intact. Jackson therefore rejects an easy description of freedom. Discarding an external hindrance is not appropriate when prejudgment and compliance have already been integrated.

The novel's continuing influence comes from this acknowledgment. The gloomiest characteristic of Pepper Street is not that its community members are remarkably evil but that normal people can take part in separation while trusting themselves esteemed. Jackson discloses how rumour can become monitoring, compliance with norms can become identity repression, privacy can become separation, and society can become a procedure of isolation. Through this, The Road Through the Wall assumes the core concerns of Jackson's subsequent cognitive and Gothic fiction while existing strongly rooted in the social realities of postwar American suburbia.

The novel therefore justifies restored concentration as a study of the interconnection between societal framework and mental distress. Its suburban world reveals that anxiety does not always emerge in remarkable events. It can be created silently through routine expectations, unspoken boundaries, and the continuous expectation to be socially approved to others. The wall through which the road is constructed is therefore not only an element of Pepper Street. It is the central metaphor for a community that tries to secure itself by dividing people from one another—and, ultimately, from themselves.

References

1. Antoszek P. The Suburban Unhomely: Alienation and Anxiety in Shirley Jackson's The Road Through the Wall. Explorations: A Journal of Language and Literature,2017:5:12–24. DOI: 10.25167/EXP13.17.5.2.
2. Beauvoir Simone de. The Second Sex. Translated by H M Parshley, Alfred A Knopf, 1953.
3. Hall Joan Wylie. Fallen Eden in Shirley Jackson's The Road Through the Wall. Renascence,1994:46(4):261–270. DOI: 10.5840/renascence199446410.
4. Hattenhauer Darryl. Shirley Jackson's American Gothic. State University of New York Press, 2003.
5. Horney Karen. The Neurotic Personality of Our Time. W W Norton, 1937.
6. Jackson Shirley. The Road Through the Wall. 1948. Shirley Jackson: Four Novels of the 1940s & 50s, edited by Ruth Franklin, Library of America, 2020.
7. Murphy Bernice M. The Suburban Gothic in American Popular Culture. Palgrave Macmillan, 2009.
8. Pascal Richard. The Road Through the Wall and Shirley Jackson's America. Shirley Jackson: Influences and Confluences, edited by Melanie R Anderson and Lisa Kröger, Routledge, 2016:76–96.